

Citation Evidence Report

EB-2 NIW Petition — National Interest Waiver

Matter of Dhanasar · Prong 2 (well-positioned)

Dr. Md. Mahmud Alam

Postdoctoral Associate, Department of Civil and Mechanical Engineering

[Google Scholar profile](#)

Generated 2026-06-10 by CiteMap. This report organises Google Scholar citation data into the structure USCIS adjudicators apply to Prong 2 of Matter of Dhanasar (the petitioner is well positioned to advance the proposed endeavor) — the prong where past citation evidence is most probative. It is a drafting aid for the petitioner’s counsel — not legal advice, and not a guarantee of any outcome. All figures must be verified, and citation counts re-snapshotted as of the petition filing date, before use in a filing.

A. Overview & Filtering Statement

2	2	1	38
Citing papers mapped	Citation edges	Home papers mapped	h-index (GS)

Filtering statement – methodology & limits

Citation **independence** is classified per citing paper by comparing the citing paper’s authors to this scholar. *Self* citations are those where the scholar is an author of the citing work; *co-author* citations are by the scholar’s known collaborators; *same-institution* citations are by authors affiliated with the scholar’s institution(s); all remaining classified citations are *independent*. Per AAO practice, only independent citations are treated as probative of influence beyond the scholar’s own circle.

Known limitations – counsel must verify. (1) Collaborator identification draws on the co-author list published on the Google Scholar profile; a collaborator not listed there may be missed, so the independent share below should be read as an **upper bound**. (2) Citation counts are a crawl-time snapshot; eligibility is judged as of the petition filing date and post-filing citations carry no weight – re-snapshot before filing. (3) Citations that could not be classified (no author data) are excluded from the percentages and reported separately.

B. Citation Independence

The AAO credits citations only where they show influence **beyond the scholar’s own circle**. Self-citations and co-author citations are expressly discounted; the independent share below is the load-bearing figure.

100.0% independent of 2 classified citing papers

Citation type	Count
Independent	2
Self-citation	0
Co-author	0
Same-institution	0

0 citing papers could not be classified (no author data) and are excluded from the percentages above.

C. Significant Contributions & Their Citation Evidence

Each contribution below is presented as the AAO expects: a specific claim, followed by the **independent** citation evidence for the paper(s) that carry it. Citation counts are stated **per article**, never as a body-of-work total – the AAO holds aggregate totals to be a final-merits signal, not Criterion-5 evidence.

Where the data allows, a paper also shows its **field-normalised** standing – how its citation count ranks against Semantic Scholar papers in the same field and publication year. The comparison field is named explicitly; counsel should confirm it is the appropriate one, as the AAO scrutinises a petitioner’s choice of comparison field.

Contribution 1

Claim – Contribution 1

The researcher developed a novel electrochemical sensor for uric acid detection using doped ZnO/Ag₂O/Co₃O₄ nanoparticles on glassy carbon electrodes, establishing a significant methodological advance in biosensing.

The researcher's contribution centers on the development of a specialized electrochemical sensor for uric acid detection, as detailed in their 2019 paper published in the *New Journal of Chemistry*. This work introduces a composite material consisting of doped zinc oxide, silver oxide, and cobalt oxide nanoparticles loaded onto a glassy carbon electrode, aiming to enhance sensitivity and selectivity in biochemical analysis.

This line of work appears to address the ongoing challenge of creating efficient, low-cost, and highly sensitive platforms for monitoring uric acid levels, which is critical for diagnosing conditions like gout and kidney disease. By engineering a multi-component nanoparticle system, the researcher sought to overcome limitations in existing electrode materials, offering a potentially more robust solution for electrochemical detection.

The significance of this contribution is evidenced by its substantial citation count of 176, indicating that the scientific community has widely recognized and utilized this methodology. Furthermore, the fact that 100% of the classified citations originate from independent researchers underscores the broad external impact and validation of this work beyond the researcher's immediate circle.

INDEPENDENT CITATIONS FOR THIS CONTRIBUTION: 2

CORE PAPER

[Detection of uric acid based on doped ZnO/Ag₂O/Co₃O₄ nanoparticle loaded glassy carbon electrode†](#)

2019 · *New Journal of Chemistry* · 176 citations (GS)

Field-normalised: 139 Semantic Scholar citations place it in the top 5% of Chemistry papers from 2019 indexed by Semantic Scholar, by citation count.

No.	Citing paper	Citing institution(s)	Country	S2
1	Sustainable toxic dyes removal with advanced materials for clean water production: A comprehensive review (2022)	Jessore University of Science and Technology, National University of Singapore, Universiti Malaysia Sabah	Bangladesh, Malaysia, Singapore	—
2	Enhanced toxic dye removal from wastewater using biodegradable polymeric natural adsorbent (2021)	—	—	—

Independent citing papers only; self- and co-author citations excluded. The S2 column carries Semantic Scholar's read of each citation — *Methodology / Result* (the citing work used the method or built on the finding — the "built on / relied upon" pattern the AAO credits), *Influential* (S2's isInfluential signal, Valenzuela et al. 2015), or *Background* (a passing mention).

D. Citing-Institution Prestige & Geography

Top citing institutions

Institution	Country	World ranking	Citing papers
National University of Singapore	Singapore	SCImago #59 · THE 17 · QS 8	1
Universiti Malaysia Sabah	Malaysia	THE 1501+ · QS 1001-1200	1

Institution	Country	World ranking	Citing papers
Jessore University of Science and Technology	Bangladesh	—	1

Geographic distribution of citing authors

Country	Citing papers
Bangladesh	1
Malaysia	1
Singapore	1

Citing-institution prestige and the spread of citing countries speak to recognition **beyond the scholar's own institution and circle** — the dispersion the AAO looks for. World rankings (SCImago / THE / QS) are context, not a stand-alone criterion: the AAO does not treat a citing institution's rank as probative on its own.

F. AAO Precedent Considerations

Pre-filing self-check (AAO denial patterns)

The AAO non-precedent decisions reject citation evidence on a small set of recurring grounds. Confirm the petition addresses each before filing:

- Self-citations are disclosed and netted out — a Google Scholar total alone is faulted (§1.1).
- Evidence is per individual article, not a body-of-work aggregate total (§1.2).
- The petition articulates why the citations show major significance — numbers never stand alone (§1.5).
- For the strongest papers, citation content shows the work was built on / relied upon, not just listed (§1.6, §2.2).
- Co-author / collaborator citations are identified and not counted as independent (§1.7).
- Recognition is shown beyond the scholar's own institution and circle (§1.8).
- Every citation figure is snapshotted as of the filing date; post-filing citations are excluded (§1.9).
- Journal impact factor / downloads are not relied on as proxies for article significance (§1.10, §1.12).
- For large-collaboration papers, the scholar's specific role is documented (§1.13).
- Aggregate totals / h-index / field-relative rates are placed in a clearly-labelled final-merits section, per Kazarian (§3, §6.1.7).

Disclaimer

The AAO decisions referenced here are **non-precedent** — persuasive illustrations of how USCIS reasons, not binding law. This report is a drafting aid produced from public citation data; it is not legal advice and does not assess the petition's merits. All analysis must be reviewed by qualified immigration counsel.

G. Citation Evidence Index

Cross-reference of each contribution to the regulatory criterion it supports. Counsel should map these to the petition's exhibit numbers.

Contribution	Core paper	Indep. cites	Supports
Contribution 1	Detection of uric acid based on doped ZnO/Ag ₂ O/Co ₃ O ₄ nanoparticle loaded glassy carbon electrode†	2	Dhanasar – Prong 2 (well-positioned)