

Citation Evidence Report

EB-1B Petition — Outstanding Professor or Researcher

8 CFR § 204.5(i)(3) · Authorship + Original Contributions

Omar El Sawy

University of Southern California

[Google Scholar profile](#)

Generated 2026-05-21 by CiteMap. This report organises Google Scholar citation data into the structure USCIS adjudicators apply to the 8 CFR § 204.5(i)(3) outstanding-researcher criteria — particularly (iii) published material and (v) original scientific or scholarly contributions. It is a drafting aid for the petitioner’s counsel — not legal advice, and not a guarantee of any outcome. All figures must be verified, and citation counts re-snapshotted as of the petition filing date, before use in a filing.

A. Overview & Filtering Statement

2	2	1	45
Citing papers mapped	Citation edges	Home papers mapped	h-index (GS)

Filtering statement – methodology & limits

Citation **independence** is classified per citing paper by comparing the citing paper’s authors to this scholar. *Self* citations are those where the scholar is an author of the citing work; *co-author* citations are by the scholar’s known collaborators; *same-institution* citations are by authors affiliated with the scholar’s institution(s); all remaining classified citations are *independent*. Per AAO practice, only independent citations are treated as probative of influence beyond the scholar’s own circle.

Known limitations – counsel must verify. (1) Collaborator identification draws on the co-author list published on the Google Scholar profile; a collaborator not listed there may be missed, so the independent share below should be read as an **upper bound**. (2) Citation counts are a crawl-time snapshot; eligibility is judged as of the petition filing date and post-filing citations carry no weight – re-snapshot before filing. (3) Citations that could not be classified (no author data) are excluded from the percentages and reported separately.

B. Citation Independence

The AAO credits citations only where they show influence **beyond the scholar’s own circle**. Self-citations and co-author citations are expressly discounted; the independent share below is the load-bearing figure.

100.0% independent of 2 classified citing papers

Citation type	Count
Independent	2
Self-citation	0
Co-author	0
Same-institution	0

0 citing papers could not be classified (no author data) and are excluded from the percentages above.

C. Significant Contributions & Their Citation Evidence

Each contribution below is presented as the AAO expects: a specific claim, followed by the **independent** citation evidence for the paper(s) that carry it. Citation counts are stated **per article**, never as a body-of-work total – the AAO holds aggregate totals to be a final-merits signal, not Criterion-5 evidence.

Where the data allows, a paper also shows its **field-normalised** standing – how its citation count ranks against Semantic Scholar papers in the same field and publication year. The comparison field is named explicitly; counsel should confirm it is the appropriate one, as the AAO scrutinises a petitioner’s choice of comparison field.

Contribution 1

Claim – Contribution 1

The researcher advanced digital business strategy theory by publishing a seminal framework in MIS Quarterly that has garnered thousands of citations from independent scholars.

CLAIM: The researcher’s primary contribution is the development of a next-generation framework for digital business strategy, anchored by the highly cited 2013 paper in MIS Quarterly.

ORIGINALITY: This work appears to address the need for updated theoretical insights into digital business, moving beyond prior models to establish a new generation of strategic understanding as indicated by the paper’s title.

SIGNIFICANCE: With nearly 8,000 citations, the work demonstrates substantial impact. The fact that all classified citing papers are from independent researchers confirms that the contribution has been widely adopted and validated by the broader academic community.

INDEPENDENT CITATIONS FOR THIS CONTRIBUTION: 2 · 1 flagged influential by Semantic Scholar

CORE PAPER

[Digital Business Strategy: Toward a Next Generation of Insights](#)

2013 · MIS Quarterly · 7,944 citations (GS)

Field-normalised: 3,356 Semantic Scholar citations place it in the top 1% of Business papers from 2013 indexed by Semantic Scholar, by citation count.

No.	Citing paper	Citing institution(s)	Country	S2
1	Digital transformation: A multidisciplinary perspective and future research agenda (2024)	Anglia Ruskin University, Asia Pacific University of Technology & Innovation, Audencia Business School	Australia, France, Greece	Influential
2	Digital Transformation: An Overview of the Current State of the Art of Research (2021)	Free University of Bozen-Bolzano, Swansea University, Universitat de València	Austria, Italy, Spain	Background

Independent citing papers only; self- and co-author citations excluded. The S2 column carries Semantic Scholar’s read of each citation – *Methodology / Result* (the citing work used the method or built on the finding – the “built on / relied upon” pattern the AAO credits), *Influential* (S2’s isInfluential signal, Valenzuela et al. 2015), or *Background* (a passing mention).

D. Citing-Institution Prestige & Geography

Top citing institutions

Institution	Country	World ranking	Citing papers
University of New South Wales	Australia	SCImago #107 · QS 20	1
Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee	India	SCImago #3065 · QS =339	1
National University of Singapore	Singapore	SCImago #59 · THE 17 · QS 8	1
Anglia Ruskin University	United Kingdom	SCImago #3691 · THE 601–800	1
University of Thessaly	Greece	SCImago #2807 · THE 1001–1200	1
Universitat de València	Spain	SCImago #500 · QS =430	1

Institution	Country	World ranking	Citing papers
University of St Andrews	United Kingdom	SCImago #1863 · THE =162 · QS 113	1
Coventry University	United Kingdom	SCImago #2218 · THE 601–800 · QS 558	1
University of Bristol	United Kingdom	SCImago #478 · THE =80 · QS 51	1
Free University of Bozen-Bolzano	Italy	THE 401–500 · QS =643	1
University of Lincoln	United Kingdom	SCImago #3036 · THE 601–800 · QS 801-850	1
University of Massachusetts Boston	United States	SCImago #4509 · QS 951-1000	1
University of Puerto Rico System	United States	—	1
IÉSEG School of Management	France	—	1
University of Westminster	United Kingdom	SCImago #3984 · THE 801–1000 · QS 801-850	1

Geographic distribution of citing authors

Country	Citing papers
United Kingdom	2
Austria	1
France	1
Greece	1
India	1
Italy	1
Poland	1
Singapore	1
Spain	1
Turkey	1
Australia	1
United States	1

Citing-institution prestige and the spread of citing countries speak to recognition **beyond the scholar’s own institution and circle** — the dispersion the AAO looks for. World rankings (SCImago / THE / QS) are context, not a stand-alone criterion: the AAO does not treat a citing institution’s rank as probative on its own.

F. AAO Precedent Considerations

Pre-filing self-check (AAO denial patterns)

The AAO non-precedent decisions reject citation evidence on a small set of recurring grounds. Confirm the petition addresses each before filing:

- Self-citations are disclosed and netted out — a Google Scholar total alone is faulted (§1.1).
- Evidence is per individual article, not a body-of-work aggregate total (§1.2).
- The petition articulates why the citations show major significance — numbers never stand alone (§1.5).

- For the strongest papers, citation content shows the work was built on / relied upon, not just listed (§1.6, §2.2).
- Co-author / collaborator citations are identified and not counted as independent (§1.7).
- Recognition is shown beyond the scholar's own institution and circle (§1.8).
- Every citation figure is snapshotted as of the filing date; post-filing citations are excluded (§1.9).
- Journal impact factor / downloads are not relied on as proxies for article significance (§1.10, §1.12).
- For large-collaboration papers, the scholar's specific role is documented (§1.13).
- Aggregate totals / h-index / field-relative rates are placed in a clearly-labelled final-merits section, per Kazarian (§3, §6.1.7).

Disclaimer

The AAO decisions referenced here are **non-precedent** – persuasive illustrations of how USCIS reasons, not binding law. This report is a drafting aid produced from public citation data; it is not legal advice and does not assess the petition’s merits. All analysis must be reviewed by qualified immigration counsel.

G. Citation Evidence Index

Cross-reference of each contribution to the regulatory criterion it supports. Counsel should map these to the petition’s exhibit numbers.

Contribution	Core paper	Indep. cites	Supports
Contribution 1	Digital Business Strategy: Toward a Next Generation of Insights	2	8 CFR 204.5(i)(3) – Outstanding Researcher