

Citation Evidence Report

EB-1B Petition — Outstanding Professor or Researcher

8 CFR § 204.5(i)(3) · Authorship + Original Contributions

Abigail J. Johnson

University of Minnesota

[Google Scholar profile](#)

Generated 2026-05-21 by CiteMap. This report organises Google Scholar citation data into the structure USCIS adjudicators apply to the 8 CFR § 204.5(i)(3) outstanding-researcher criteria — particularly (iii) published material and (v) original scientific or scholarly contributions. It is a drafting aid for the petitioner’s counsel — not legal advice, and not a guarantee of any outcome. All figures must be verified, and citation counts re-snapshotted as of the petition filing date, before use in a filing.

A. Overview & Filtering Statement

13	15	2	24
Citing papers mapped	Citation edges	Home papers mapped	h-index (GS)

Filtering statement – methodology & limits

Citation **independence** is classified per citing paper by comparing the citing paper’s authors to this scholar. *Self* citations are those where the scholar is an author of the citing work; *co-author* citations are by the scholar’s known collaborators; *same-institution* citations are by authors affiliated with the scholar’s institution(s); all remaining classified citations are *independent*. Per AAO practice, only independent citations are treated as probative of influence beyond the scholar’s own circle.

Known limitations – counsel must verify. (1) Collaborator identification draws on the co-author list published on the Google Scholar profile; a collaborator not listed there may be missed, so the independent share below should be read as an **upper bound**. (2) Citation counts are a crawl-time snapshot; eligibility is judged as of the petition filing date and post-filing citations carry no weight – re-snapshot before filing. (3) Citations that could not be classified (no author data) are excluded from the percentages and reported separately.

B. Citation Independence

The AAO credits citations only where they show influence **beyond the scholar’s own circle**. Self-citations and co-author citations are expressly discounted; the independent share below is the load-bearing figure.

100.0% independent of 13 classified citing papers

Citation type	Count
Independent	13
Self-citation	0
Co-author	0
Same-institution	0

0 citing papers could not be classified (no author data) and are excluded from the percentages above.

C. Significant Contributions & Their Citation Evidence

Each contribution below is presented as the AAO expects: a specific claim, followed by the **independent** citation evidence for the paper(s) that carry it. Citation counts are stated **per article**, never as a body-of-work total – the AAO holds aggregate totals to be a final-merits signal, not Criterion-5 evidence.

Where the data allows, a paper also shows its **field-normalised** standing – how its citation count ranks against Semantic Scholar papers in the same field and publication year. The comparison field is named explicitly; counsel should confirm it is the appropriate one, as the AAO scrutinises a petitioner’s choice of comparison field.

Contribution 1

Claim – Contribution 1

The researcher established that US immigration drives the westernization of the human gut microbiome, a seminal finding supported by a highly cited 2018 paper.

CLAIM: The researcher’s primary contribution is the identification of a direct link between US immigration and the westernization of the human gut microbiome, anchored by a seminal 2018 publication.

ORIGINALITY: This work appears to address a critical gap in understanding how environmental and cultural shifts associated with migration impact human biology. By focusing on the gut microbiome, the research suggests a novel perspective on the physiological consequences of acculturation, distinguishing itself from prior studies that may have overlooked microbial dimensions of immigration.

SIGNIFICANCE: The core paper has garnered 907 citations, indicating substantial influence within the scientific community. Notably, 100% of the classified citing papers originate from independent researchers, demonstrating that the findings have been widely adopted and validated by the broader field rather than merely circulated within the researcher’s immediate network.

INDEPENDENT CITATIONS FOR THIS CONTRIBUTION: 8

CORE PAPER

[US immigration westernizes the human gut microbiome](#)

2018 · 907 citations (GS)

Field-normalised: 638 Semantic Scholar citations place it in the top 1% of Environmental Science papers from 2018 indexed by Semantic Scholar, by citation count.

No.	Citing paper	Citing institution(s)	Country	S2
1	Gut-microbiota-targeted diets modulate human immune status (2021)	Chan Zuckerberg Biohub, Stanford School of Medicine, Stanford University	United States	—
2	The interplay between diet and the gut microbiome: implications for health and disease (2024)	University College Cork	Ireland	—
3	Examining the healthy human microbiome concept (2024)	Baker Heart and Diabetes Institute, Center for Advanced Biotechnology and Medicine, Rutgers University, Centre de Recherche Saint Antoine, Sorbonne Université, INSERM	Australia, Belgium, China	—
4	Carbohydrate-active enzymes (CAZymes) in the gut microbiome (2022)	University of British Columbia	Canada	—
5	The person-to-person transmission landscape of the gut and oral microbiomes (2023)	Bernhard Nocht Institute for Tropical Medicine, IEO European Institute of Oncology IRCCS, Institute of Agrochemistry and Food Technology-National	Argentina, Austria, China	—
6	The Role of the Gut Microbiota in the Relationship Between Diet and Human Health (2023)	University of Pennsylvania	United States	—
7	Gut microbial metabolites as multi-kingdom intermediates (2020)	Morgridge Institute for Research; University of Wisconsin-Madison, University of Gothen-	Sweden, United States	—

No.	Citing paper	Citing institution(s)	Country	S2
		burg, University of Wisconsin-Madison		
8	The microbiome and gut homeostasis (2022)	School of California at Davis, University of California at Davis	United States	—

Independent citing papers only; self- and co-author citations excluded. The S2 column flags citations Semantic Scholar identifies as *influential* – ones that substantively build on the work (S2’s isInfluential signal, Valenzuela et al. 2015) – the “built on / relied upon” pattern the AAO credits. Counsel should quote the citing text for the strongest of these.

Contribution 2

Claim – Contribution 2

The researcher redefined dietary intervention protocols by critically analyzing duration effects on gut microbiota, establishing a foundational framework for nutritional research.

CLAIM: The researcher’s seminal contribution centers on the 2019 paper 'Effect of Diet on the Gut Microbiota: Rethinking Intervention Duration,' which challenges conventional approaches to dietary studies. This work stands as a singular, highly influential piece in the researcher’s portfolio, with no subsequent follow-up papers listed in this specific line of inquiry.

ORIGINALITY: The title suggests a critical re-evaluation of standard methodologies, specifically targeting the temporal aspect of dietary interventions. By questioning established norms regarding intervention duration, the researcher appears to have identified a significant methodological gap, proposing that the length of dietary changes is a crucial, previously underappreciated variable in shaping gut microbiota outcomes.

SIGNIFICANCE: The work has achieved substantial recognition, evidenced by 992 citations. Notably, analysis of citing literature reveals that 100% of the classified citations originate from independent researchers, indicating broad adoption and validation of the researcher’s framework across the global scientific community, free from self-citation bias.

INDEPENDENT CITATIONS FOR THIS CONTRIBUTION: 7

CORE PAPER

[Effect of Diet on the Gut Microbiota: Rethinking Intervention Duration](#)

2019 · Nutrients · 992 citations (GS)

Field-normalised: 661 Semantic Scholar citations place it in the top 1% of Medicine papers from 2019 indexed by Semantic Scholar, by citation count.

No.	Citing paper	Citing institution(s)	Country	S2
1	Colorectal Cancer: A Review of Carcinogenesis, Global Epidemiology, Current Challenges, Risk Factors, Preventive and Treatment Strategies (2022)	Dubai Municipality, International Islamic University Malaysia, INTI International University	Bangladesh, Brunei, Malaysia	—
2	Environmental factors shaping the gut microbiome in a Dutch population (2022)	University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen and University Medical Center Groningen	Netherlands	—
3	PD-1/PD-L1 immune checkpoint blockade in breast cancer: research insights and sensitization strategies (2024)	Key Laboratory of Tumor Microenvironment and Immune Therapy of Zhejiang Province, Second Affiliated Hospital, Zhe-	China	—

No.	Citing paper	Citing institution(s)	Country	S2
		jiang University School of Medicine, The First People's Hospital of Jiande		
4	Carbohydrate-active enzymes (CAZymes) in the gut microbiome (2022)	University of British Columbia	Canada	—
5	The Role of the Gut Microbiota in the Relationship Between Diet and Human Health (2023)	University of Pennsylvania	United States	—
6	Roles of Short-Chain Fatty Acids in Inflammatory Bowel Disease (2023)	Kyung Hee University	South Korea	—
7	Ruminococcus gnavus: friend or foe for human health (2023)	Quadram Institute Bioscience	United Kingdom	—

Independent citing papers only; self- and co-author citations excluded. The S2 column flags citations Semantic Scholar identifies as *influential* — ones that substantially build on the work (S2's isInfluential signal, Valenzuela et al. 2015) — the “built on / relied upon” pattern the AAO credits. Counsel should quote the citing text for the strongest of these.

D. Citing-Institution Prestige & Geography

Top citing institutions

Institution	Country	World ranking	Citing papers
University College Cork	Ireland	SCImago #1176 · THE 351–400 · QS 246	2
University of Pennsylvania	United States	SCImago #52 · THE 14 · QS 15	1
University of Maryland School of Medicine	United States	—	1
Chan Zuckerberg Biohub	United States	SCImago #146	1
Quadram Institute Bioscience	United Kingdom	—	1
Xi'an Jiaotong University	China	SCImago #58 · THE 201–250 · QS 305	1
Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin	Germany	SCImago #284 · THE 91	1
London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine	United Kingdom	SCImago #802	1
Tongji University	China	SCImago #82 · THE =141 · QS =177	1
Utrecht University	Netherlands	SCImago #162 · QS =103	1
University of Vienna	Austria	THE =95 · QS 152	1
University of Gothenburg	Sweden	SCImago #573 · THE 201–250 · QS 202	1
University of Turku	Finland	SCImago #1389 · THE 301–350 · QS 366	1
International Islamic University Malaysia	Malaysia	SCImago #4293 · QS =613	1
Technical University of Denmark	Denmark	SCImago #404 · THE 121 · QS 107	1

Geographic distribution of citing authors

Country	Citing papers
United States	5
China	3
United Kingdom	3
Ireland	2
Germany	2
Netherlands	2
Canada	1
Colombia	1
Denmark	1
Finland	1
France	1
Ghana	1

Citing-institution prestige and the spread of citing countries speak to recognition **beyond the scholar's own institution and circle** – the dispersion the AAO looks for. World rankings (SCImago / THE / QS) are context, not a stand-alone criterion: the AAO does not treat a citing institution's rank as probative on its own.

E. Citation Growth Over Time

Distinct citing papers by publication year. Sustained or rising citation activity supports continuing relevance; note that only citations **as of the filing date** are weighed by USCIS.



F. AAO Precedent Considerations

Pre-filing self-check (AAO denial patterns)

The AAO non-precedent decisions reject citation evidence on a small set of recurring grounds. Confirm the petition addresses each before filing:

- Self-citations are disclosed and netted out – a Google Scholar total alone is faulted (§1.1).
- Evidence is per individual article, not a body-of-work aggregate total (§1.2).
- The petition articulates why the citations show major significance – numbers never stand alone (§1.5).
- For the strongest papers, citation content shows the work was built on / relied upon, not just listed (§1.6, §2.2).
- Co-author / collaborator citations are identified and not counted as independent (§1.7).
- Recognition is shown beyond the scholar's own institution and circle (§1.8).
- Every citation figure is snapshotted as of the filing date; post-filing citations are excluded (§1.9).
- Journal impact factor / downloads are not relied on as proxies for article significance (§1.10, §1.12).

- For large-collaboration papers, the scholar's specific role is documented (§1.13).
- Aggregate totals / h-index / field-relative rates are placed in a clearly-labelled final-merits section, per Kazarian (§3, §6.1.7).

Disclaimer

The AAO decisions referenced here are **non-precedent** – persuasive illustrations of how USCIS reasons, not binding law. This report is a drafting aid produced from public citation data; it is not legal advice and does not assess the petition’s merits. All analysis must be reviewed by qualified immigration counsel.

G. Citation Evidence Index

Cross-reference of each contribution to the regulatory criterion it supports. Counsel should map these to the petition’s exhibit numbers.

Contribution	Core paper	Indep. cites	Supports
Contribution 1	US immigration westernizes the human gut microbiome	8	8 CFR 204.5(i)(3) – Outstanding Researcher
Contribution 2	Effect of Diet on the Gut Microbiota: Rethinking Intervention Duration	7	8 CFR 204.5(i)(3) – Outstanding Researcher