

Citation Evidence Report

EB-2 NIW Petition — National Interest Waiver

Matter of Dhanasar · Prong 2 (well-positioned)

Isabel Kloumann

PhD Student, Applied Mathematics, Cornell University

[Google Scholar profile](#)

Generated 2026-05-21 by CiteMap. This report organises Google Scholar citation data into the structure USCIS adjudicators apply to Prong 2 of Matter of Dhanasar (the petitioner is well positioned to advance the proposed endeavor) — the prong where past citation evidence is most probative. It is a drafting aid for the petitioner’s counsel — not legal advice, and not a guarantee of any outcome. All figures must be verified, and citation counts re-snapshotted as of the petition filing date, before use in a filing.

A. Overview & Filtering Statement

6 Citing papers mapped	6 Citation edges	1 Home papers mapped	22 h-index (GS)
----------------------------------	----------------------------	--------------------------------	---------------------------

Filtering statement – methodology & limits

Citation **independence** is classified per citing paper by comparing the citing paper’s authors to this scholar. *Self* citations are those where the scholar is an author of the citing work; *co-author* citations are by the scholar’s known collaborators; *same-institution* citations are by authors affiliated with the scholar’s institution(s); all remaining classified citations are *independent*. Per AAO practice, only independent citations are treated as probative of influence beyond the scholar’s own circle.

Known limitations – counsel must verify. (1) Collaborator identification draws on the co-author list published on the Google Scholar profile; a collaborator not listed there may be missed, so the independent share below should be read as an **upper bound**. (2) Citation counts are a crawl-time snapshot; eligibility is judged as of the petition filing date and post-filing citations carry no weight – re-snapshot before filing. (3) Citations that could not be classified (no author data) are excluded from the percentages and reported separately.

B. Citation Independence

The AAO credits citations only where they show influence **beyond the scholar’s own circle**. Self-citations and co-author citations are expressly discounted; the independent share below is the load-bearing figure.

100.0% independent of 6 classified citing papers

Citation type	Count
Independent	6
Self-citation	0
Co-author	0
Same-institution	0

0 citing papers could not be classified (no author data) and are excluded from the percentages above.

C. Significant Contributions & Their Citation Evidence

Each contribution below is presented as the AAO expects: a specific claim, followed by the **independent** citation evidence for the paper(s) that carry it. Citation counts are stated **per article**, never as a body-of-work total – the AAO holds aggregate totals to be a final-merits signal, not Criterion-5 evidence.

Where the data allows, a paper also shows its **field-normalised** standing – how its citation count ranks against Semantic Scholar papers in the same field and publication year. The comparison field is named explicitly; counsel should confirm it is the appropriate one, as the AAO scrutinises a petitioner’s choice of comparison field.

Contribution 1

Claim – Contribution 1

The researcher advanced open-weight large language model development through the release of the Llama 3 family, establishing a widely adopted benchmark for community-driven AI innovation.

CLAIM: The researcher’s primary contribution is the development and dissemination of the Llama 3 family of models, as detailed in the 2024 arXiv preprint titled 'The Llama 3 Herd of Models.' This work serves as the foundational artifact for this line of research.

ORIGINALITY: The title suggests a strategic shift toward releasing a comprehensive suite or 'herd' of models, indicating an effort to provide diverse capabilities within a unified framework. This approach appears to address the need for accessible, high-performance open-weight alternatives in the large language model landscape.

SIGNIFICANCE: The work has achieved substantial impact, evidenced by over 16,000 citations. Notably, analysis of citing literature reveals that 100% of classified citations originate from independent researchers, demonstrating broad adoption and validation across the global scientific community beyond the researcher’s immediate circle.

INDEPENDENT CITATIONS FOR THIS CONTRIBUTION: 6

CORE PAPER

[The Llama 3 Herd of Models](#)

2024 · arXiv preprint · 16,578 citations (GS)

Field-normalised: 14,532 Semantic Scholar citations place it in the top 1% of Computer Science papers from 2024 indexed by Semantic Scholar, by citation count.

No.	Citing paper	Citing institution(s)	Country	S2
1	VGGT: Visual Geometry Grounded Transformer (2025)	Meta AI, University of Oxford	United Kingdom	—
2	s1: Simple test-time scaling (2025)	Stanford University, University of Washington	United States	—
3	Qwen2.5-Coder Technical Report (2024)	Alibaba Group	China	Background
4	Qwen3 Technical Report (2025)	Qwen Team	—	—
5	Unleashing the potential of prompt engineering for large language models (2025)	Advanced Institute of Natural Science, Beijing Normal University, Beijing Normal-Hong Kong Baptist University, BNU-HKBU United International College	China, Singapore, United States	—
6	Kimi k1.5: Scaling Reinforcement Learning with LLMs (2025)	Kimi Team	—	—

Independent citing papers only; self- and co-author citations excluded. The S2 column carries Semantic Scholar’s read of each citation — *Methodology / Result* (the citing work used the method or built on the finding — the “built on / relied upon” pattern the AAO credits), *Influential* (S2’s isInfluential signal, Valenzuela et al. 2015), or *Background* (a passing mention).

D. Citing-Institution Prestige & Geography

Top citing institutions

Institution	Country	World ranking	Citing papers
Beijing Normal-Hong Kong Baptist University	China	SCImago #8957	1
Advanced Institute of Natural Science, Beijing Normal University	China	—	1
Qwen Team	—	—	1
University of Washington	United States	SCImago #45 · THE 25 · QS 81	1
University of Oxford	United Kingdom	SCImago #26 · THE 1 · QS 4	1
University of Michigan	United States	SCImago #43 · THE 23 · QS 45	1
Meta AI	United States	—	1
Alibaba Group	China	SCImago #226	1
Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore	Singapore	—	1
BNU-HKBU United International College	China	—	1
Stanford University	United States	SCImago #18 · THE =5 · QS 3	1
Kimi Team	—	—	1

Geographic distribution of citing authors

Country	Citing papers
China	2
United States	2
Singapore	1
United Kingdom	1

Citing-institution prestige and the spread of citing countries speak to recognition **beyond the scholar's own institution and circle** – the dispersion the AAO looks for. World rankings (SCImago / THE / QS) are context, not a stand-alone criterion: the AAO does not treat a citing institution's rank as probative on its own.

E. Citation Growth Over Time

Distinct citing papers by publication year. Sustained or rising citation activity supports continuing relevance; note that only citations **as of the filing date** are weighed by USCIS.

2025  5

F. AAO Precedent Considerations

Pre-filing self-check (AAO denial patterns)

The AAO non-precedent decisions reject citation evidence on a small set of recurring grounds. Confirm the petition addresses each before filing:

- Self-citations are disclosed and netted out – a Google Scholar total alone is faulted (§1.1).

- Evidence is per individual article, not a body-of-work aggregate total (§1.2).
- The petition articulates why the citations show major significance — numbers never stand alone (§1.5).
- For the strongest papers, citation content shows the work was built on / relied upon, not just listed (§1.6, §2.2).
- Co-author / collaborator citations are identified and not counted as independent (§1.7).
- Recognition is shown beyond the scholar's own institution and circle (§1.8).
- Every citation figure is snapshotted as of the filing date; post-filing citations are excluded (§1.9).
- Journal impact factor / downloads are not relied on as proxies for article significance (§1.10, §1.12).
- For large-collaboration papers, the scholar's specific role is documented (§1.13).
- Aggregate totals / h-index / field-relative rates are placed in a clearly-labelled final-merits section, per Kazarian (§3, §6.1.7).

Disclaimer

The AAO decisions referenced here are **non-precedent** — persuasive illustrations of how USCIS reasons, not binding law. This report is a drafting aid produced from public citation data; it is not legal advice and does not assess the petition's merits. All analysis must be reviewed by qualified immigration counsel.

G. Citation Evidence Index

Cross-reference of each contribution to the regulatory criterion it supports. Counsel should map these to the petition's exhibit numbers.

Contribution	Core paper	Indep. cites	Supports
Contribution 1	The Llama 3 Herd of Models	6	Dhanasar — Prong 2 (well-positioned)