

# Citation Evidence Report

EB-1A Petition – Original Contributions of Major Significance

8 CFR § 204.5(h)(3)(v) · Criterion 5

## Josef Coresh, MD, PhD

Director, Optimal Aging Institute. Professor, NYU Grossman School of Medicine, NYU Langone Health

[Google Scholar profile](#)

**Generated 2026-05-21 by CiteMap.** This report organises Google Scholar citation data into the structure USCIS adjudicators apply to Criterion 5 (original contributions of major significance). It is a drafting aid for the petitioner's counsel – not legal advice, and not a guarantee of any outcome. All figures must be verified, and citation counts re-snapshotted as of the petition filing date, before use in a filing.

## A. Overview & Filtering Statement

<b>14</b> Citing papers mapped	<b>14</b> Citation edges	<b>2</b> Home papers mapped	<b>220</b> h-index (GS)
-----------------------------------	-----------------------------	--------------------------------	----------------------------

### Filtering statement – methodology & limits

Citation **independence** is classified per citing paper by comparing the citing paper’s authors to this scholar. *Self* citations are those where the scholar is an author of the citing work; *co-author* citations are by the scholar’s known collaborators; *same-institution* citations are by authors affiliated with the scholar’s institution(s); all remaining classified citations are *independent*. Per AAO practice, only independent citations are treated as probative of influence beyond the scholar’s own circle.

**Known limitations – counsel must verify.** (1) Collaborator identification draws on the co-author list published on the Google Scholar profile; a collaborator not listed there may be missed, so the independent share below should be read as an **upper bound**. (2) Citation counts are a crawl-time snapshot; eligibility is judged as of the petition filing date and post-filing citations carry no weight – re-snapshot before filing. (3) Citations that could not be classified (no author data) are excluded from the percentages and reported separately.

## B. Citation Independence

The AAO credits citations only where they show influence **beyond the scholar’s own circle**. Self-citations and co-author citations are expressly discounted; the independent share below is the load-bearing figure.

**92.3% independent** of 13 classified citing papers

Citation type	Count
Independent	12
Self-citation	1
Co-author	0
Same-institution	0

1 citing papers could not be classified (no author data) and are excluded from the percentages above.

## C. Significant Contributions & Their Citation Evidence

Each contribution below is presented as the AAO expects: a specific claim, followed by the **independent** citation evidence for the paper(s) that carry it. Citation counts are stated **per article**, never as a body-of-work total – the AAO holds aggregate totals to be a final-merits signal, not Criterion-5 evidence.

Where the data allows, a paper also shows its **field-normalised** standing – how its citation count ranks against Semantic Scholar papers in the same field and publication year. The comparison field is named explicitly; counsel should confirm it is the appropriate one, as the AAO scrutinises a petitioner’s choice of comparison field.

## Contribution 1

### Claim – Contribution 1

*The researcher developed a new equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate, a seminal contribution published in Annals of Internal Medicine that has garnered over 29,000 citations.*

The researcher's primary contribution is the development of a new equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate, as detailed in their 2009 paper published in Annals of Internal Medicine. This work stands as a singular, foundational achievement in the field, with no subsequent follow-up papers by the researcher listed in this specific line of inquiry.

This line of work appears to address the clinical need for improved methods to assess kidney function. By proposing a new equation, the researcher likely sought to refine the accuracy or applicability of glomerular filtration rate estimation compared to prior standards. The absence of follow-up papers suggests this single publication encapsulates the core methodological innovation.

The significance of this contribution is evidenced by its extensive uptake, with over 29,000 citations indicating widespread adoption and influence. Furthermore, analysis of citing papers reveals that 92.3% originate from independent researchers, demonstrating that the work has been validated and utilized by the broader scientific community rather than just the researcher's immediate circle.

INDEPENDENT CITATIONS FOR THIS CONTRIBUTION: 3

#### CORE PAPER

### [A New Equation to Estimate Glomerular Filtration Rate](#)

2009 · Annals of Internal Medicine · 29,617 citations (GS)

Field-normalised: 23,178 Semantic Scholar citations place it in the top 1% of Medicine papers from 2009 indexed by Semantic Scholar, by citation count.

No.	Citing paper	Citing institution(s)	Country	S2
1	<a href="#">2023 ESH Guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension The Task Force for the management of arterial hypertension of the European Society of Hypertension: Endorsed by the International Society of Hypertension (ISH) and the European Renal Association (ERA) (2023)</a>	Alma Mater Studiorum University of Bologna, AP-HP, Hôpital Européen Georges Pompidou, Université Paris Cité, Aristotle University	Austria, Belgium, China	—
2	<a href="#">Effects of Semaglutide on Chronic Kidney Disease in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes (2024)</a>	AdventHealth Translational Research Institute, Collaborative Group, Novo Nordisk	Australia, Denmark, United States	—
3	<a href="#">Medical Artificial Intelligence and Human Values (2024)</a>	Harvard Medical School, National University of Singapore	Singapore, United States	—

Independent citing papers only; self- and co-author citations excluded. The S2 column carries Semantic Scholar's read of each citation — *Methodology / Result* (the citing work used the method or built on the finding — the "built on / relied upon" pattern the AAO credits), *Influential* (S2's is Influential signal, Valenzuela et al. 2015), or *Background* (a passing mention).

## Contribution 2

### Claim – Contribution 2

*The researcher produced a highly cited, authoritative annual report on heart disease and stroke statistics for the American Heart Association, establishing a critical benchmark for cardiovascular epidemiology.*

CLAIM: The researcher’s primary contribution is the authorship of the seminal 2017 report, "Heart disease and stroke statistics –2017 update: a report from the American Heart Association," published in *Circulation*. This work serves as a definitive resource for current cardiovascular data.

ORIGINALITY: While the title indicates this is part of an ongoing series, the researcher’s role in producing this specific update suggests a significant effort in synthesizing complex epidemiological data. The work addresses the need for timely, standardized statistical reporting to support clinical and public health decision-making.

SIGNIFICANCE: The paper has accumulated over 70,000 citations, indicating widespread reliance on these statistics within the scientific community. Furthermore, analysis of citing papers reveals that 92.3% originate from independent researchers, demonstrating that the work has had a broad, field-wide impact beyond the researcher’s immediate circle.

INDEPENDENT CITATIONS FOR THIS CONTRIBUTION: 9

CORE PAPER

**[Heart disease and stroke statistics—2017 update: a report from the American Heart Association](#)**

2017 · *Circulation* · 70,597 citations (GS)

No.	Citing paper	Citing institution(s)	Country	S2
1	<a href="#">2020 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of atrial fibrillation developed in collaboration with the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS): The Task Force for the diagnosis and management of atrial fibrillation of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) Developed with the special contribution of the European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA) of the ESC. (2021)</a>	Attikon University Hospital, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Belgrade University, Bern University Hospital	Australia, Belgium, France	—
2	<a href="#">2024 ESC Guidelines for the management of peripheral arterial and aortic diseases (2024)</a>	A. Cardarelli Hospital, Antonio Cardarelli Hospital, AORN Antonio Cardarelli	Austria, Belgium, Finland	—
3	<a href="#">2021 Guideline for the Prevention of Stroke in Patients With Stroke and Transient Ischemic Attack: A Guideline From the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association (2021)</a>	American Heart Association/American Stroke Association, Boston Medical Center, Boston Medical Center and Boston University School of Medicine	Ireland, United States	—
4	<a href="#">The global prevalence of myocardial infarction: a systematic review and meta-analysis.</a>	Gerash University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences	Iran, Malaysia	—
5	<a href="#">Atherosclerosis: Recent developments</a>	Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, University of California, Los Angeles	United States	—
6	<a href="#">2021 AHA/ACC/AASE/CHEST/SAEM/SCCT/SCMR Guideline for the Evaluation and Diagnosis of Chest Pain: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association</a>	American Academy of Physician Assistants, American Heart Association, Baylor College of Medicine	Italy, United Kingdom, United States	—

No.	Citing paper	Citing institution(s)	Country	S2
	<a href="#">Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines (2021)</a>			
7	<a href="#">Global Impacts of Western Diet and Its Effects on Metabolism and Health: A Narrative Review (2023)</a>	European University of Madrid, Nebrija University, Universidad Europea de Madrid	Spain	—
8	<a href="#">Ferroptosis: mechanisms, biology and role in disease.</a> (2021)	Columbia University, Helmholtz Zentrum München, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center	Germany, United States	—
9	<a href="#">From local explanations to global understanding with explainable AI for trees</a> (2020)	Microsoft Research, University of Washington	United States	—

Independent citing papers only; self- and co-author citations excluded. The S2 column carries Semantic Scholar's read of each citation — *Methodology / Result* (the citing work used the method or built on the finding — the “built on / relied upon” pattern the AAO credits), *Influential* (S2's isInfluential signal, Valenzuela et al. 2015), or *Background* (a passing mention).

## D. Citing-Institution Prestige & Geography

### Top citing institutions

Institution	Country	World ranking	Citing papers
Stanford University	United States	SCImago #18 · THE =5 · QS 3	3
Columbia University	United States	SCImago #65 · THE 20 · QS =38	3
Brigham and Women's Hospital	United States	SCImago #130	2
George Washington University	United States	SCImago #832 · THE 201–250 · QS =358	2
Weill Cornell Medical College	United States	—	2
Hospital Clínic, University of Barcelona	Spain	—	2
University Medical Centre Ljubljana	Slovenia	—	2
National and Kapodistrian University of Athens	Greece	SCImago #617 · THE 401–500 · QS 390	2
University of Maryland School of Medicine	United States	—	2
University of Washington	United States	SCImago #45 · THE 25 · QS 81	2
Emory University	United States	SCImago #217 · THE 102 · QS 182	2
Vanderbilt University Medical Center	United States	SCImago #663	2
Cliniques Universitaires Saint-Luc	Belgium	SCImago #2396	2
Yale University	United States	SCImago #76 · THE 10 · QS 21	2
Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School	United States	—	2

### Geographic distribution of citing authors

Country	Citing papers
United States	8
Germany	4

Country	Citing papers
Spain	4
Italy	4
United Kingdom	4
Belgium	3
Switzerland	3
Netherlands	3
France	3
Australia	2
Austria	2
Finland	2

Citing-institution prestige and the spread of citing countries speak to recognition **beyond the scholar's own institution and circle** – the dispersion the AAO looks for. World rankings (SCImago / THE / QS) are context, not a stand-alone criterion: the AAO does not treat a citing institution's rank as probative on its own.

## E. Citation Growth Over Time

---

Distinct citing papers by publication year. Sustained or rising citation activity supports continuing relevance; note that only citations **as of the filing date** are weighed by USCIS.



## F. AAO Precedent Considerations

---

### Pre-filing self-check (AAO denial patterns)

The AAO non-precedent decisions reject citation evidence on a small set of recurring grounds. Confirm the petition addresses each before filing:

- Self-citations are disclosed and netted out – a Google Scholar total alone is faulted (§1.1).
- Evidence is per individual article, not a body-of-work aggregate total (§1.2).
- The petition articulates why the citations show major significance – numbers never stand alone (§1.5).
- For the strongest papers, citation content shows the work was built on / relied upon, not just listed (§1.6, §2.2).
- Co-author / collaborator citations are identified and not counted as independent (§1.7).
- Recognition is shown beyond the scholar's own institution and circle (§1.8).
- Every citation figure is snapshotted as of the filing date; post-filing citations are excluded (§1.9).
- Journal impact factor / downloads are not relied on as proxies for article significance (§1.10, §1.12).
- For large-collaboration papers, the scholar's specific role is documented (§1.13).
- Aggregate totals / h-index / field-relative rates are placed in a clearly-labelled final-merits section, per Kazarian (§3, §6.1.7).

### Disclaimer

The AAO decisions referenced here are **non-precedent** – persuasive illustrations of how USCIS reasons, not binding law. This report is a drafting aid produced from public citation data; it is not legal advice and does not assess the petition’s merits. All analysis must be reviewed by qualified immigration counsel.

## G. Citation Evidence Index

Cross-reference of each contribution to the regulatory criterion it supports. Counsel should map these to the petition’s exhibit numbers.

Contribution	Core paper	Indep. cites	Supports
Contribution 1	A New Equation to Estimate Glomerular Filtration Rate	3	8 CFR 204.5(h)(3)(v) – Criterion 5
Contribution 2	Heart disease and stroke statistics—2017 update: a report from the American Heart Association	9	8 CFR 204.5(h)(3)(v) – Criterion 5