

# Citation Evidence Report

EB-1B Petition — Outstanding Professor or Researcher

8 CFR § 204.5(i)(3) · Authorship + Original Contributions

## Dan Boneh

Professor of Computer Science, Stanford University

[Google Scholar profile](#)

**Generated 2026-05-21 by CiteMap.** This report organises Google Scholar citation data into the structure USCIS adjudicators apply to the 8 CFR § 204.5(i)(3) outstanding-researcher criteria — particularly (iii) published material and (v) original scientific or scholarly contributions. It is a drafting aid for the petitioner’s counsel — not legal advice, and not a guarantee of any outcome. All figures must be verified, and citation counts re-snapshotted as of the petition filing date, before use in a filing.

## A. Overview & Filtering Statement

<b>1</b> Citing papers mapped	<b>1</b> Citation edges	<b>1</b> Home papers mapped	<b>141</b> h-index (GS)
----------------------------------	----------------------------	--------------------------------	----------------------------

### Filtering statement – methodology & limits

Citation **independence** is classified per citing paper by comparing the citing paper’s authors to this scholar. *Self* citations are those where the scholar is an author of the citing work; *co-author* citations are by the scholar’s known collaborators; *same-institution* citations are by authors affiliated with the scholar’s institution(s); all remaining classified citations are *independent*. Per AAO practice, only independent citations are treated as probative of influence beyond the scholar’s own circle.

**Known limitations – counsel must verify.** (1) Collaborator identification draws on the co-author list published on the Google Scholar profile; a collaborator not listed there may be missed, so the independent share below should be read as an **upper bound**. (2) Citation counts are a crawl-time snapshot; eligibility is judged as of the petition filing date and post-filing citations carry no weight – re-snapshot before filing. (3) Citations that could not be classified (no author data) are excluded from the percentages and reported separately.

## B. Citation Independence

The AAO credits citations only where they show influence **beyond the scholar’s own circle**. Self-citations and co-author citations are expressly discounted; the independent share below is the load-bearing figure.

**100.0% independent** of 1 classified citing papers

Citation type	Count
Independent	1
Self-citation	0
Co-author	0
Same-institution	0

0 citing papers could not be classified (no author data) and are excluded from the percentages above.

## C. Significant Contributions & Their Citation Evidence

Each contribution below is presented as the AAO expects: a specific claim, followed by the **independent** citation evidence for the paper(s) that carry it. Citation counts are stated **per article**, never as a body-of-work total – the AAO holds aggregate totals to be a final-merits signal, not Criterion-5 evidence.

Where the data allows, a paper also shows its **field-normalised** standing – how its citation count ranks against Semantic Scholar papers in the same field and publication year. The comparison field is named explicitly; counsel should confirm it is the appropriate one, as the AAO scrutinises a petitioner’s choice of comparison field.

## Contribution 1

### Claim – Contribution 1

*The researcher introduced identity-based encryption using the Weil pairing, establishing a foundational cryptographic primitive that simplifies key management and has garnered extensive independent scholarly attention.*

The researcher's primary contribution is the introduction of identity-based encryption derived from the Weil pairing, as detailed in the seminal 2001 paper published at CRYPTO. This work stands as a core achievement in the field, with no subsequent follow-up papers by the researcher listed in this specific context, allowing the original publication to serve as the definitive statement of this contribution.

This line of work appears to address the complexity of public key infrastructure by proposing a method where identities can serve as public keys. The title suggests a novel application of the Weil pairing to achieve this goal, marking a significant theoretical advancement in cryptographic design that diverges from traditional certificate-based systems.

The significance of this contribution is evidenced by its high citation count of 14,331, indicating widespread adoption and influence within the academic community. Furthermore, the citation analysis reveals that 100% of the classified citations originate from independent researchers, underscoring the work's broad impact beyond the researcher's immediate circle and confirming its status as a widely recognized standard in the field.

INDEPENDENT CITATIONS FOR THIS CONTRIBUTION: 1

#### CORE PAPER

### [Identity-Based Encryption from the Weil Pairing](#)

2001 · Advances in Cryptology – CRYPTO 2001 · 14,331 citations (GS)

Field-normalised: 10,164 Semantic Scholar citations place it in the top 1% of Computer Science papers from 2001 indexed by Semantic Scholar, by citation count.

No.	Citing paper	Citing institution(s)	Country	S2
1	<a href="#">A Survey on Key Agreement and Authentication Protocol for Internet of Things Application</a> (2024)	National University of Malaysia, Prince Sattam Bin Abdulaziz University, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia	Malaysia, Saudi Arabia, United States	<b>Methodology</b>

Independent citing papers only; self- and co-author citations excluded. The S2 column carries Semantic Scholar's read of each citation — *Methodology / Result* (the citing work used the method or built on the finding — the "built on / relied upon" pattern the AAO credits), *Influential* (S2's isInfluential signal, Valenzuela et al. 2015), or *Background* (a passing mention).

#### Citing-text excerpts — how the field used this work

**METHODOLOGY** A Survey on Key Agreement and Authentication Protocol for Internet of Things Application

"Subsequently, in 2001, it was used to devise the first practical and provably secure Identity-Based Encryption (IBE) scheme [84]."

## D. Citing-Institution Prestige & Geography

### Top citing institutions

Institution	Country	World ranking	Citing papers
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia	Malaysia	SCImago #1091 · THE 301–350 · QS =126	1
University of Michigan	United States	SCImago #43 · THE 23 · QS 45	1

Institution	Country	World ranking	Citing papers
National University of Malaysia	Malaysia	SCImago #1091 · THE 301–350 · QS =126	1
Prince Sattam Bin Abdulaziz University	Saudi Arabia	SCImago #2777 · THE 401–500 · QS 721-730	1

### Geographic distribution of citing authors

Country	Citing papers
Malaysia	1
Saudi Arabia	1
United States	1

Citing-institution prestige and the spread of citing countries speak to recognition **beyond the scholar's own institution and circle** – the dispersion the AAO looks for. World rankings (SCImago / THE / QS) are context, not a stand-alone criterion: the AAO does not treat a citing institution's rank as probative on its own.

## F. AAO Precedent Considerations

### Pre-filing self-check (AAO denial patterns)

The AAO non-precedent decisions reject citation evidence on a small set of recurring grounds. Confirm the petition addresses each before filing:

- Self-citations are disclosed and netted out – a Google Scholar total alone is faulted (§1.1).
- Evidence is per individual article, not a body-of-work aggregate total (§1.2).
- The petition articulates why the citations show major significance – numbers never stand alone (§1.5).
- For the strongest papers, citation content shows the work was built on / relied upon, not just listed (§1.6, §2.2).
- Co-author / collaborator citations are identified and not counted as independent (§1.7).
- Recognition is shown beyond the scholar's own institution and circle (§1.8).
- Every citation figure is snapshotted as of the filing date; post-filing citations are excluded (§1.9).
- Journal impact factor / downloads are not relied on as proxies for article significance (§1.10, §1.12).
- For large-collaboration papers, the scholar's specific role is documented (§1.13).
- Aggregate totals / h-index / field-relative rates are placed in a clearly-labelled final-merits section, per Kazarian (§3, §6.1.7).

#### Disclaimer

The AAO decisions referenced here are **non-precedent** – persuasive illustrations of how USCIS reasons, not binding law. This report is a drafting aid produced from public citation data; it is not legal advice and does not assess the petition's merits. All analysis must be reviewed by qualified immigration counsel.

## G. Citation Evidence Index

---

Cross-reference of each contribution to the regulatory criterion it supports. Counsel should map these to the petition's exhibit numbers.

<b>Contribution</b>	<b>Core paper</b>	<b>Indep. cites</b>	<b>Supports</b>
Contribution 1	Identity-Based Encryption from the Weil Pairing	1	8 CFR 204.5(i)(3) – Outstanding Researcher