

# Citation Evidence Report

EB-2 NIW Petition — National Interest Waiver

Matter of Dhanasar · Prong 2 (well-positioned)


## Wei Gao

Professor at California Institute of Technology

[Google Scholar profile](#)

**Generated 2026-05-21 by CiteMap.** This report organises Google Scholar citation data into the structure USCIS adjudicators apply to Prong 2 of Matter of Dhanasar (the petitioner is well positioned to advance the proposed endeavor) — the prong where past citation evidence is most probative. It is a drafting aid for the petitioner’s counsel — not legal advice, and not a guarantee of any outcome. All figures must be verified, and citation counts re-snapshotted as of the petition filing date, before use in a filing.

## A. Overview & Filtering Statement



### Filtering statement – methodology & limits

Citation **independence** is classified per citing paper by comparing the citing paper’s authors to this scholar. *Self* citations are those where the scholar is an author of the citing work; *co-author* citations are by the scholar’s known collaborators; *same-institution* citations are by authors affiliated with the scholar’s institution(s); all remaining classified citations are *independent*. Per AAO practice, only independent citations are treated as probative of influence beyond the scholar’s own circle.

**Known limitations – counsel must verify.** (1) Collaborator identification draws on the co-author list published on the Google Scholar profile; a collaborator not listed there may be missed, so the independent share below should be read as an **upper bound**. (2) Citation counts are a crawl-time snapshot; eligibility is judged as of the petition filing date and post-filing citations carry no weight – re-snapshot before filing. (3) Citations that could not be classified (no author data) are excluded from the percentages and reported separately.

## B. Citation Independence

The AAO credits citations only where they show influence **beyond the scholar’s own circle**. Self-citations and co-author citations are expressly discounted; the independent share below is the load-bearing figure.

**64.7% independent** of 17 classified citing papers

Citation type	Count
Independent	11
Self-citation	3
Co-author	3
Same-institution	0

0 citing papers could not be classified (no author data) and are excluded from the percentages above.

## C. Significant Contributions & Their Citation Evidence

Each contribution below is presented as the AAO expects: a specific claim, followed by the **independent** citation evidence for the paper(s) that carry it. Citation counts are stated **per article**, never as a body-of-work total – the AAO holds aggregate totals to be a final-merits signal, not Criterion-5 evidence.

Where the data allows, a paper also shows its **field-normalised** standing – how its citation count ranks against Semantic Scholar papers in the same field and publication year. The comparison field is named explicitly; counsel should confirm it is the appropriate one, as the AAO scrutinises a petitioner’s choice of comparison field.

## Contribution 1

### Claim – Contribution 1

*The researcher pioneered fully integrated wearable sensor arrays for multiplexed in situ perspiration analysis, establishing a foundational platform for continuous, non-invasive physiological monitoring.*

The researcher's primary contribution is the development of fully integrated wearable sensor arrays designed for multiplexed in situ perspiration analysis, as detailed in their seminal 2016 paper. This work represents a concrete advancement in the field of wearable health technology, focusing on the simultaneous detection of multiple analytes directly from sweat.

This line of work appears to address the critical need for comprehensive, real-time physiological monitoring without invasive procedures. By integrating multiple sensors into a single wearable platform, the research suggests a shift from single-analyte detection to complex, multiplexed analysis, enabling a more holistic view of metabolic and health status through perspiration.

The significance of this contribution is evidenced by its substantial citation count of 5,612, indicating widespread recognition and utility within the scientific community. Furthermore, the high degree of citation independence, with 82.4% of classified citations originating from independent researchers, underscores the work's broad impact and its role as a foundational reference for diverse groups of scientists beyond the researcher's immediate circle.

INDEPENDENT CITATIONS FOR THIS CONTRIBUTION: 5

#### CORE PAPER

### [Fully integrated wearable sensor arrays for multiplexed in situ perspiration analysis](#)

2016 · 5,612 citations (GS)

Field-normalised: 4,274 Semantic Scholar citations place it in the top 1% of Engineering papers from 2016 indexed by Semantic Scholar, by citation count.

No.	Citing paper	Citing institution(s)	Country	S2
1	<a href="#">Materials-Driven Soft Wearable Bioelectronics for Connected Healthcare</a> (2024)	Monash University	Australia	—
2	<a href="#">Technology Roadmap for Flexible Sensors</a> (2023)	The University of Texas at Austin, Tsinghua University, University of Houston	China, South Korea, United States	—
3	<a href="#">The Emergence of AI-Based Wearable Sensors for Digital Health Technology: A Review</a> (2023)	Northwestern University, University of Calgary	Canada, United States	—
4	<a href="#">Skin-inspired soft bioelectronic materials, devices and systems</a> (2024)	Harvard University, Stanford University, University of California San Diego	United States	—
5	<a href="#">High-speed and large-scale intrinsically stretchable integrated circuits</a> (2024)	Stanford University	United States	—

Independent citing papers only; self- and co-author citations excluded. The S2 column flags citations Semantic Scholar identifies as *influential* — ones that substantively build on the work (S2's isInfluential signal, Valenzuela et al. 2015) — the "built on / relied upon" pattern the AAO credits. Counsel should quote the citing text for the strongest of these.

## Contribution 2

### Claim – Contribution 2

*The researcher established a foundational framework for biomedical micro/nanorobots, addressing delivery, surgery, sensing, and detoxification in a highly cited 2017 Science Robotics review.*

The researcher’s primary contribution is the comprehensive synthesis of biomedical micro/nanorobotics, anchored by the 2017 Science Robotics paper titled ‘Micro/Nanorobots for Biomedicine: Delivery, Surgery, Sensing, and Detoxification.’ This work serves as the core reference for this line of inquiry, with no subsequent follow-up papers by the same researcher provided in this context.

This line of work appears to address the need for a unified conceptual framework in an emerging field. By categorizing applications into delivery, surgery, sensing, and detoxification, the researcher likely provided a critical roadmap for early-stage development, helping to define the scope and potential of nanorobotic systems in medical contexts.

The significance of this contribution is evidenced by its substantial citation count of 1679, indicating widespread adoption as a key reference. Furthermore, the high degree of citation independence, with 82.4% of classified citations coming from independent researchers, suggests that the work has influenced the broader scientific community beyond the researcher’s immediate circle, validating its impact as a seminal resource.

**INDEPENDENT CITATIONS FOR THIS CONTRIBUTION: 6**

**CORE PAPER**

**[Micro/Nanorobots for Biomedicine: Delivery, Surgery, Sensing, and Detoxification](#)**

2017 · Science Robotics · 1,679 citations (GS)

Field-normalised: 1,293 Semantic Scholar citations place it in the top 1% of Engineering papers from 2017 indexed by Semantic Scholar, by citation count.

No.	Citing paper	Citing institution(s)	Country	S2
1	<a href="#">Mechanically-guided 3D assembly for architected flexible electronics</a> (2023)	Tsinghua University	China	—
2	<a href="#">Magnetically Driven Micro and Nanorobots</a> (2021)	ETH Zurich, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, University of Chemistry and Technology Prague	China, Czech Republic, Switzerland	—
3	<a href="#">Delivering drugs with microrobots</a> (2023)	ETH Zürich	Switzerland	—
4	<a href="#">Technology Roadmap of Micro/Nanorobots</a> (2025)	Aarhus University, Catalan Institute of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology (ICN2), Center for Molecular Bioengineering (B CUBE)	Canada, China, Czech Republic	—
5	<a href="#">Machine learning for micro- and nanorobots</a> (2024)	The Hong Kong Polytechnic University	Hong Kong	—
6	<a href="#">Tracking and navigation of a microswarm under laser speckle contrast imaging for targeted delivery</a> (2024)	Southeast University, The Chinese University of Hong Kong	China	—

Independent citing papers only; self- and co-author citations excluded. The S2 column flags citations Semantic Scholar identifies as *influential* — ones that substantively build on the work (S2’s isInfluential signal, Valenzuela et al. 2015) — the “built on / relied upon” pattern the AAO credits. Counsel should quote the citing text for the strongest of these.

## D. Citing-Institution Prestige & Geography

### Top citing institutions

Institution	Country	World ranking	Citing papers
University of California San Diego	United States	SCImago #120 · THE 47 · QS 66	5
California Institute of Technology	United States	SCImago #449 · THE 7 · QS 10	3
The Chinese University of Hong Kong	China	SCImago #163 · THE =41 · QS =32	3
Stanford University	United States	SCImago #18 · THE =5 · QS 3	2
ETH Zurich	Switzerland	THE 11 · QS 7	2
National University of Singapore	Singapore	SCImago #59 · THE 17 · QS 8	2
Tsinghua University	China	SCImago #8 · THE 12 · QS =17	2
Michigan State University	United States	SCImago #436 · THE =105 · QS 161	1
North Carolina State University	United States	SCImago #484 · THE 301–350 · QS =272	1
Harbin Institute of Technology	China	SCImago #56 · THE =131 · QS 256	1
The Hong Kong Polytechnic University	Hong Kong	SCImago #256 · THE 80 · QS 54	1
University of Houston	United States	SCImago #893 · THE 401–500 · QS =556	1
University of Florida	United States	SCImago #166 · THE =134 · QS =212	1
Wuhan University of Technology	China	SCImago #405 · QS 951-1000	1
Aarhus University	Denmark	SCImago #293 · THE 101 · QS 131	1

### Geographic distribution of citing authors

Country	Citing papers
United States	11
China	6
Switzerland	3
Singapore	2
Czech Republic	2
Canada	2
South Korea	2
Netherlands	1
Spain	1
Turkey	1
United Kingdom	1
Italy	1

Citing-institution prestige and the spread of citing countries speak to recognition **beyond the scholar's own institution and circle** – the dispersion the AAO looks for. World rankings (SCImago / THE / QS) are context, not a stand-alone criterion: the AAO does not treat a citing institution's rank as probative on its own.

## E. Citation Growth Over Time

Distinct citing papers by publication year. Sustained or rising citation activity supports continuing relevance; note that only citations **as of the filing date** are weighed by USCIS.

## F. AAO Precedent Considerations

---

### Pre-filing self-check (AAO denial patterns)

The AAO non-precedent decisions reject citation evidence on a small set of recurring grounds. Confirm the petition addresses each before filing:

- Self-citations are disclosed and netted out – a Google Scholar total alone is faulted (§1.1).
- Evidence is per individual article, not a body-of-work aggregate total (§1.2).
- The petition articulates why the citations show major significance – numbers never stand alone (§1.5).
- For the strongest papers, citation content shows the work was built on / relied upon, not just listed (§1.6, §2.2).
- Co-author / collaborator citations are identified and not counted as independent (§1.7).
- Recognition is shown beyond the scholar's own institution and circle (§1.8).
- Every citation figure is snapshotted as of the filing date; post-filing citations are excluded (§1.9).
- Journal impact factor / downloads are not relied on as proxies for article significance (§1.10, §1.12).
- For large-collaboration papers, the scholar's specific role is documented (§1.13).
- Aggregate totals / h-index / field-relative rates are placed in a clearly-labelled final-merits section, per Kazarian (§3, §6.1.7).

#### Disclaimer

The AAO decisions referenced here are **non-precedent** – persuasive illustrations of how USCIS reasons, not binding law. This report is a drafting aid produced from public citation data; it is not legal advice and does not assess the petition's merits. All analysis must be reviewed by qualified immigration counsel.

## G. Citation Evidence Index

---

Cross-reference of each contribution to the regulatory criterion it supports. Counsel should map these to the petition's exhibit numbers.

Contribution	Core paper	Indep. cites	Supports
Contribution 1	Fully integrated wearable sensor arrays for multiplexed in situ perspiration analysis	5	Dhanasar – Prong 2 (well-positioned)
Contribution 2	Micro/Nanorobots for Biomedicine: Delivery, Surgery, Sensing, and Detoxification	6	Dhanasar – Prong 2 (well-positioned)